

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673,872	12/04/2000	Tony Wai-Chiu So	A33477 PCT U	5826	
7	2590 05/21/2002				
CSMERON A	A. KING		EXAMII	NER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2842			GOLLAMUDI, S	GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	<i>F</i>]	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	l J	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summary	09/673,872	WAI-CHIU SO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Sharmila S. Gollamudi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	-ahmung 2000				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 f</u>					
<u>, </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Holly colloidefation.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	, ,			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	 			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/673,872 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment A, paper no., 10 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-24 are included in the prosecution of this application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Yu et al does not anticipate instant invention. The applicant argues that Yu does not suggest the instant composition. In regards to the 103(a) rejection, the applicant argues that Uchikawa teaches a hair tonic containing amine oxides and the combination would not overcome the instant amount of propylene glycol.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered. The applicant is correct in that Yu et al do not clearly anticipate the instant invention. Although Yu et al does provide a specific example, Yu et al suggest the instant invention. Yu et al teaches the active agent in the range of .01-40% on column 6, lines 51-53. Further, Yu teaches the volume ratio of ethanol: water: propylene glycol to be 40:40:20 on column 7, lines 1-3. Therefore, the examiner's position is that Yu et al suggest the instant invention and the mere optimization of the amount of actives is within the skill of a practioner in the art.

In regards to the arguments of Uchikawa, Uchikawa suggests the use of minoxidil as an additional active agent, note column 4, lines 7-33). The instant claim language does not exclude the use of other actives, i.e. amine oxides, in the composition. Further, Uchikawa is relied upon for it's teaching of obtaining similar results using ethanol or benzyl alcohol.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/673,872

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al (5571841) by itself or in combination with Kasting et al (5041439) or viceversa.

Yu et al teaches a therapeutic composition for hair loss that contains minoxidil (2%), water, ethanol, propylene glycol (16%), and lactic acid (Note example 3). Yu et al teaches the active agent in the range of .01-40% (col.6, lines 51-53). Further, Yu teaches the volume ratio of ethanol: water: propylene glycol to be 40:40:20 (col. 7, lines1-3). The composition is applied to the scalp to treat hair loss (Note example 3).

Yu et al does not provide an example with instant amount of minoxidil.

Kasting et al teaches a minoxidil acid salt made from an acid such as acetic or lactic acid (col. 6, lines 44-53). Kasting teaches using 12% active, 54% 1,2 6-hexanetriol, oleyl alcohol, and 30% ethanol (Note composition XIV). The reference teaches several ranges in the examples. Kasting teaches the amount of active also depends on factors such as the severity of the condition, the cause of the condition, the specific active used, etc. (col.7, lines 5-15).

Although, Yu does not provide a specific example, it is deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, in the absence of showing unexpected results, to manipulate the conditions to obtain the best possible results since Yu et al provides the general

Application/Control Number: 09/673,872 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

guidance of the hair treating composition. One would be motivated to change the concentration of minoxidil depending on the severity of the condition. Further, Kasting et al teaches a hair loss composition and manipulating the solvent system and active agent to obtain a therapeutic composition without irritation.

Claims 11 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al (5571841) by itself or in combination with Kasting et al (5041439) or vice-versa, in further view of Uchikawa et al (5156836).

As set forth above, Yu and Kasting teach a hair loss composition containing a piperidinopyrimidine derivative and a solvent system.

The references do not teach the use of benzyl alcohol.

Uchikawa et al teaches a hair tonic that contains an active agent such as minoxidil, organic acids such as lactic acid, water, polyhydric alcohols such as glycerin or propylene glycol, and alcohols such as ethanol and benzyl alcohol. Further, the reference teaches a formulation where the alcohol-water mixture is in the instant ratio. (col. 3 and 4, line 45 through line 34). Uchikawa et al teaches the application of the hair composition for the treatment of hair loss.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use benzyl alcohol or ethanol in the solvent system since Uchikawa et al teaches the use of either alcohols in the hair tonic.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/673,872

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Sharmila S. Gollamudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-2147. The examiner can be normally reached M-F from 7:30 am to 4:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached at (703) 308-4628. The fax number for this organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

May 9, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER